

# MEMO



**SAFE STREETS**  
Research + Consulting

**TO:** Christopher Kidd  
**FROM:** Brian Almdale, MUPP and Rebecca Sanders, PhD RSP<sub>28</sub>  
**DATE:** 03-10-2023  
**RE:** Final Draft Crash Analysis – Step I  
**PROJECT:** SFMTA Bike Plan

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Key findings.....</b>	<b>2</b>
Crashes .....	2
Parties .....	5
<b>Next Steps .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Methodology.....</b>	<b>6</b>
Crash Data Overview .....	6
Injury Severity Assignment .....	7
<b>Descriptive Analysis .....</b>	<b>10</b>
Crashes by Year .....	10
Crashes by Injury Type .....	15
Crashes by Movement-Based Crash Types .....	15
Crashes by Relative Direction (Bicycle-Motorist Crashes Only) .....	17
Crashes by Reported Violations (Bicycle-Motor Vehicle Crashes Only) .....	18
Crashes by Time of Day .....	21
Crashes by Day of Week .....	23
Crashes by Lighting Condition .....	25
Crashes by Under the Influence of Alcohol .....	26
Crashes by Weather Condition .....	26
<b>Parties Involved .....</b>	<b>28</b>
Bicyclist Age .....	28
Driver Age .....	31
Bicyclist Race .....	33
Driver Race .....	34
Bicyclist and Driver Race .....	36
Bicyclist Gender .....	37
<b>Conclusion and Next Steps .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Appendix B .....</b>	<b>43</b>

## Introduction

This memo summarizes the methodology and key findings for the first of two crash analyses being conducted as part of the San Francisco Active Communities Plan. The two primary questions these analyses aim to answer include:

- **Step I Analysis:** Who, where, when, and why of crashes involving bicyclists and other human-scale wheeled road users?
- **Step II Analysis:** What are the modifiable risk factors associated with (fatal and severe) bicyclist crashes?

The purpose of this Step I analysis will help us understand and communicate the who, where, when, and why of crashes involving bicyclists and other human-scale wheeled road users. The initial findings from this analysis will be shared with the public during Community Engagement Phase 2. The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) staff will review the draft findings and determine, in collaboration with Safe Streets Research & Consulting (Safe Streets) and Toole Design which findings are appropriate for inclusion in a ESRI Story Map for public consumption.

The analysis looked at crashes that occurred during the pre-pandemic period (2017-2019) and during the pandemic (2020-2021) to control for changes in travel behaviors due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Key findings

Reported crash data that involved a bicyclist was used as the primary dataset in this crash analysis. Reported crash data is critical to understanding crash patterns. While reported crash data is known to have problems with underreporting<sup>1,2</sup>, it is often the most complete data source, in terms of the number and consistency of crash attributes available and the breadth and number of crashes included. As such, this data can provide the necessary detail for informing engineering treatments and help us understand who was involved in a crash. This report acknowledges the crash data used in this analysis provides us with an incomplete picture of crashes but allows us to use the most complete and readily available data that represents crash events and the people involved in crashes.

The below bulleted items are the key findings from this crash analysis.

### Crashes

- ***Number of bicycle crashes:***
  - Pre-Pandemic (2017 – 2019): 1,668 (556.0 per year)
  - Pandemic (2020 – 2021): 775 (382.0 per year)
  - 5-Year Study Period (2017 – 2021): 2,443 (486.4 per year)
- ***Number of fatal and severe injury (KSI) bicycle crashes:***

---

<sup>1</sup> Stutts, J., & Hunter, W. (1998). Police reporting of pedestrians and bicyclists treated in hospital emergency rooms. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, (1635), 88-92.

<sup>2</sup> San Francisco Department of Public Health-Program on Health, Equity and Sustainability. 2017. Vision Zero High Injury Network: 2017 Update – A Methodology for San Francisco, California. San Francisco, CA. Available at: [https://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/EHSdocs/PHES/VisionZero/2017\\_Vision\\_Zero\\_Network\\_Update\\_Methodology\\_Final\\_20170725.pdf](https://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/EHSdocs/PHES/VisionZero/2017_Vision_Zero_Network_Update_Methodology_Final_20170725.pdf)

- Pre-Pandemic 152 (52.7 per year)
- Pandemic: 78 (39.0 per year)
- 5-Year Study Period: 230 (47.2 per year)
- **Number of fatal bicycle crashes:**
  - Pre-Pandemic: 7 (2.3 per year)
  - Pandemic: 2 (1.0 per year)
  - 5-Year Study Period: 9 (1.8 per year)
- **Crashes by Year:**
  - Crashes and KSI crashes per year were highest during the pre-pandemic period.
  - There was a sharp reduction in crashes at the start of the pandemic. This reduction is likely related to changes in travel behaviors due to the COVID-19 pandemic safety precautions and Stay Home order that was in effect within San Francisco.
  - Crashes were slightly more likely to result in a KSI outcome in 2021 compared to previous years.
- **Injury Severity:**
  - Injury severity distribution was similar between the two study periods. Most bicyclists suffer from complaints of pain or some other visible injury type.
- **Pre-Crash Movement:**
  - Crash patterns between the pre-pandemic and pandemic period were similar.
  - Crashes that involved both the bicyclist and motorist proceeding straight accounted for the largest share of crashes and KSI crashes.
  - Crashes that involved a motorist making a left turn were on average more severe than crashes with motorists making a right turn.
  - Solo-bicyclist crashes were the most severe on average, but this is likely related to the nature in which solo-bicyclist crashes are reported. Less severe solo-bicycle crashes are generally not reported, therefore skewing the results.
  - Crashes that involved a stopped or parked motorist tend to result in a high rate of KSI outcomes. Many of these were dooring-related crashes and suggest the need for increased physical separation between bicyclists and vehicles.
- **Relative Direction:**
  - **Pre-Pandemic:** Same direction crashes accounted for the largest share of crashes and KSI crashes, followed by perpendicular (i.e., broadside) crashes. Perpendicular crashes tend to be slightly more severe on average.
  - **Pandemic:** perpendicular crashes comprised the largest share of all crashes and KSI crashes, followed by same direction crashes.
- **Crashes by Reported Violations:**
  - **Pre-Pandemic:** improper and unsafe turns accounted for the largest share of crashes and KSI crashes, followed by failure to yield while making a left turn and traveling too fast for conditions. Motorists were cited as the party at fault for 53% of all reported crashes and 46% of KSI crashes. Bicyclists were cited for 33% of all crashes and 36% of KSI crashes. Motorists were cited for most crashes related to improper or unsafe turns and failure to yield making a left turn. Bicyclists were cited for most crashes related to traveling too fast for conditions.
  - **Pandemic:** Improper or unsafe turn, disregarding a traffic signal, and too fast for conditions were the most common violation types. The party at fault for KSI crashes was substantially different during the pandemic period compared to the pre-pandemic

period. During the pre-pandemic, motorists were cited as the party at fault 47.4% of all crashes. Bicyclists were cited as the party at fault for 40.9% of those crashes. For KSI crashes, motorists were cited at fault in 29.1% of incidents, compared to 56.4% of KSI crashes where a bicyclist was cited at fault. Additionally, bicyclist at fault crashes were disproportionately severe relative to motorist at fault crashes.

- **2017-2021:** Bicyclists were cited as the party at fault for 56% of fatal crashes during the 5-year study period. This should be interpreted with caution as the fatally injured bicyclist was unable to provide their testimony.
- **Time of Day:**
  - Crash patterns by time of day were similar between the two study periods. Crashes were generally concentrated during the daytime, particularly around typical peak commute periods (6-9 AM and 3-6 PM).
  - When considering time of day by weekday vs. weekend, the pre-pandemic distributions followed common bicycle volumes distributions (weekend: highest crash frequencies during AM/PM commute periods; weekday: highest crash frequencies during midday). During the pandemic study period, the distribution of crashes for weekend and weekday crash patterns were nearly the same and were generally concentrated in the afternoon and evening.
- **Day of Week:**
  - Crashes were concentrated during the week (compared to the weekend) for both study periods. KSI crashes were highest on Fridays and lowest during the weekend for the pre-pandemic study period. During the pandemic, KSI crashes were slightly more concentrated on the weekends compared to pre-pandemic crashes.
- **Lighting Conditions:**
  - Daylight conditions accounted for most crashes as expected. Most trips occur during daylight conditions which contributes to higher crash frequencies.
  - Crashes that occurred during non-daylight conditions were more likely to result in a KSI outcome. The severity of nighttime crashes is likely related to reduced visibility and slower perception and reaction times, resulting in the motorist traveling at a higher speed (and having more kinetic energy) at the time of the crash.
- **Alcohol:**
  - There were ten crashes that involved a party (bicyclist or motorist) who was under the influence of alcohol during the 5-year study period.
- **Crash type - Mode:**
  - Most crashes included a bicyclist and motorist (83.1%), followed by solo-bicyclist (11.6%) and bicyclist-pedestrian (5.3%).
  - Just over one-fourth of bicycle KSI crashes involved only a bicyclist and no other parties (solo-bicycle crash). Solo-bicycle crashes were disproportionately severe compared to other crash types, which is likely associated with underreporting of less severe solo-bicycle crashes, therefore skewing the results.
- **Weather Condition:**
  - Most crashes occurred during clear weather conditions for both the pre-pandemic period (86%) and pandemic period (90%).

## Parties

- **Race<sup>3</sup>:**
  - In both study periods, Black bicyclists and drivers are substantially overrepresented in crashes on a per capita (using San Francisco demographics) basis citywide. Census data show that Black residents make up 5% of San Francisco's population but accounted for 9.6% of all bicycle crash victims and 8.6% of KSI bike victims, pre-pandemic. During the pandemic, these figures rose – Black bicyclists were involved in 11% of all bike crashes and 11.5% of KSI bike crashes. Additional research is needed to better understand travel behaviors and mode preferences or usage for each race.
- **Age:**
  - Bicyclists aged 25-39 accounted for the largest share of bicyclists involved in crashes, and particularly bicyclists aged between 30-34 years. Bicyclists aged between 20-34 were the most overrepresented parties involved in a crash for all three study periods.
  - Drivers aged 30-34 accounted for the largest share of drivers involved in crashes with a bicyclist for all three study periods while also being underrepresented in crashes on a citywide per capita basis. Drivers aged 20-24 and 35-59 were overrepresented in crashes on a citywide per capita basis.
- **Gender<sup>4</sup>:**
  - Male bicyclists accounted for the majority of bicyclists involved in crashes and KSI crashes during both study periods. This may be a reflection of gender-specific comfort related to riding a bicycle in traffic, related to personal safety, or other factors. Additional research is recommended to better understand the underlying factors for this finding.

## Next Steps

- Safe Streets will begin the Step II analysis, which focuses on crash risk and location-specific findings through a systemic safety analysis.
- SFMTA and DPH will coordinate with Safe Streets to better understanding DUI reporting.
  - DPH may consider comparing the DUI crash rates per year with 2014-2016 crash data to get a sense of DUI/BUI prevalence during those years.
- Safe Streets will deliver the following files to Toole Design:
  - Excel workbook with source data, cross tabs (Pivot Tables), and plots
  - CSV file of crash data with geospatial attributes (using PostGIS geometries)
  - Final Step I Crash analysis Word Document

---

<sup>3</sup> **Disclaimer:** Party race is based on officer's assumption or visual impression, which can be problematic and inaccurate. Additionally, there are only five racial categories (excludes "Not Stated") within the crash data, in contrast to the US Census, which has nearly twice as many race and ethnicity categories. The victim representation and comparison made to the San Francisco population should be interpreted with caution given these reporting shortcomings.

<sup>4</sup> **Disclaimer:** Party gender is based on officer's assumption or visual impression, which can be problematic and inaccurate. The only categorical values for gender in the crash report form include "male", "female", and "Not Stated" and do not include other personal gender identities. The victim representation and comparison made to the San Francisco population should be interpreted with caution given these reporting shortcomings.

- List of possible key findings and ideas for how those findings can be illustrated with graphics

## Methodology

This analysis examines who was involved in bicycle crashes, when the bicycle crashes occurred, and contributing factors and circumstances using the reported information within the crash data. This crash analysis looked at the data stratified by two time periods: 2017-2019 (pre-pandemic) and 2020-2021 (pandemic). Stratifying the study period into these timeframes allows the research team to objectively analyze the crash data while controlling for the significant effect that the COVID-19 pandemic had on travel and behavioral patterns<sup>5</sup>.

### Crash Data Overview


Collision, party, and victim data were pulled from DataSF open data portal, which queries the crash data from TransBASE.sfgov.org. The crash data were downloaded on 11/22/2022, processed by Safe Streets, and loaded into a Postgres database for additional analysis. For detailed information regarding the sources of the collision records, please see detailed data summary hosted on DataSF's webpage ([here](#)).

The collision, party, and victim tables closely resemble the Statewide Integrated Transportation Record System (SWITRS) available via the Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS) hosted by UC Berkeley's Safe Transportation Research and Education Center (SafeTREC). Detailed information for the collision, party, and victim tables can be viewed [here](#). The collision, party, and victim tables have a relational structure, which is common for storing collision data. For every reported collision, there is one collision record. The party table contains information for all the primary "actors" involved in the collision and has a many-to-one relationship – i.e., all relevant party records are matched via a case identification number to the one collision record. The party table contains information for each primary person such as age, sex, race, direction of travel, and vehicle characteristics. Lastly, the victim table contains attributes for all victims associated with each party, such as the driver and all the passengers of the vehicle. The victims table has a many-to-one relationship with both the parties and collision tables. This relationship is displayed in a graphic displayed Figure 1 below:

---

<sup>5</sup> Bureau of Transportation Statistics 2022. Daily Travel During the Covid-19 Public Health Emergency. Accessed February 15, 2022: <https://www.bts.gov/daily-travel>.

Figure 1: Relational Structure of Collision Data. Image Source: TIMS



The crash data used in this analysis was processed by Safe Streets to restructure the data, calculate and assign new variables, and assess the quality of the data through a robust quality control (QC) process. All reported crashes were processed (not just bicyclist crashes), but only crashes that involved at least one bicyclist are included in this analysis. These bicyclist crashes include any crash involving a bicyclist and motorist or pedestrian, as well as crashes in which there were no parties other than a single bicyclist (solo-bicyclist crashes).

## Injury Severity Assignment

The officer-reported injury severity levels used in this analysis are specific to the most severely injured (MSI) bicyclist involved in the crash. This injury severity is different than the reported MSI assigned to each crash record (see Table 1, blue cells indicate the matched crash MSI and bicyclist MSI). In most cases, bicyclists are the most severely injured victim involved in the crash. Using the victim-level severity helps improve accuracy of summarizing injury severities. It should be noted that the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) has documented reporting errors related to mis-coded injury severities, particularly for severe injuries<sup>6</sup>, suggesting a need for some fluidity when discussing minor and serious injuries. This analysis does not have access to DPH's crash-level data to use the hospital reported or verified injury severities, so the results in this document reflect the best available data at the time.

For reference, the injury severities recorded in the crash data and summarized in this analysis are defined in the California Highway Patrol Collision Investigation Manual 555:

- **Fatal:** A fatal injury is any injury that results in death within 30 days after the motor vehicle collision in which the injury occurred. If the person did not die at the scene but died within 30 days of the motor vehicle collision in which the injury occurred, the injury classification should be changed from the injury previously assigned to "Fatal Injury"

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.visionzerosf.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Severe-Injury-Trends-2011-2020-final-report.pdf>

- **Injury (Severe):** A suspected serious injury is any injury other than fatal which results in one or more of the following:
  - Severe laceration resulting in exposure of underlying tissues/muscles/organs or resulting in significant loss of blood.
  - Broken or distorted extremity (arm or leg).
  - Crush injuries.
  - Suspected skull, chest or abdominal injury other than bruises or minor lacerations.
  - Significant burns (second and third degree burns over 10% or more of the body).
  - Unconsciousness when taken from the collision scene.
  - Paralysis.
- **Injury (Minor):** A minor injury is any injury that is evident at the scene of the collision, other than fatal or serious injuries. Examples include lump on the head, abrasions, bruises, and minor lacerations (cuts on the skin surface with minimal bleeding and no exposure of deeper tissue/muscle).
- **Injury (Possible):** A possible injury is any injury reported or claimed which is not a fatal, suspected serious, or suspected minor injury. Examples include momentary loss of consciousness, claim of injury, limping, or complaint of pain or nausea. Possible injuries are those which are reported by the person or are indicated by their behavior, but no wounds or injuries are readily evident.



Table 1: Crash-level MSI and Bicycle MSI Comparison

Crash-Level MSI	Bike MSI	Total
<b>Fatal</b>	<b>Fatal</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Injury (Severe)</b>	<b>Injury (Severe)</b>	<b>220</b>
	<i>Injury (Other Visible)</i>	<i>2</i>
	<i>Injury (Complaint of Pain)</i>	<i>1</i>
	<i>unknown</i>	<i>12</i>
<b>Injury (Other Visible)</b>	<b>Injury (Other Visible)</b>	<b>994</b>
	<i>Injury (Complaint of Pain)</i>	<i>8</i>
	<i>unknown</i>	<i>51</i>
<b>Injury (Complaint of Pain)</b>	<i>Injury (Severe)</i>	<i>1</i>
	<i>Injury (Other Visible)</i>	<i>2</i>
	<b>Injury (Complaint of Pain)</b>	<b>1,092</b>
	<i>unknown</i>	<i>51</i>
<b>Medical<sup>7</sup></b>	<i>Fatal</i>	<i>1</i>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,443</b>

As part of the crash data QC process, 114 crashes were found to be missing bicyclist victim records (see Table 2). The absence of bicyclist victim records prohibits assigning bicyclist MSI to each record with 100% certainty for all crashes. However, it's safe to assume the crash-level injury severity for solo-bicyclist crashes accurately reflects the bicyclist's injury. For crashes that involved a bicyclist and a motorist, it is generally safe to assume the bicyclist experience the most severe injury. While this may not be universally true, it is the likely outcome given that bicyclists are less protected than a motorist in a vehicle. For crashes that involved a pedestrian and bicyclist, however, assigning the crash-level injury severity to the bicyclist may be inaccurate as the MSI may apply to the pedestrian involved in the crash, not the bicyclist. The research team worked with the SFMTA to determine how to proceed with these crash records, presenting the SFMTA team with the following three options:

- **Option 1:** Drop bicyclist-pedestrian crashes without bicyclist victim records
- **Option 2:** Proportionally apply the injury levels from bicyclist-pedestrian crashes with known bicyclist MSI
- **Option 3:** Assign crashes a 50/50 split between Injury B (n=40) and Injury C (n=40), assuming all unknown MSI Injury A crashes (n=11) likely apply to the pedestrian

Ultimately, option two was selected as it applies the bicycle MSI informed by historic crash patterns. Crashes that were not assigned a bicycle MSI (injury C crashes; n=11) during this process were removed from the analysis.

<sup>7</sup> This value is likely an error in the source data, which has been recoded to 'fatal' for this analysis.

Table 2:Crashes without Bicycle Victim Records

Crash Type	Crash-level MSI	Total
Bike-Vehicle	Injury (Severe)	1
	Injury (Other Visible)	10
	Injury (Complaint of Pain)	11
Bike-Pedestrian	Injury (Severe)	11
	Injury (Other Visible)	40
	Injury (Complaint of Pain)	40
Solo-Bike	Injury (Other Visible)	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>114</b>

## Descriptive Analysis<sup>8</sup>

### Crashes by Year

Reported bicycle crashes by year are summarized in Table 3. There is a clear difference in crash frequencies between the two study periods, with each year of pre-pandemic crashes frequencies accounting for between 22% and 24% of crashes during the 5-year period. In contrast, the annual share of crashes dramatically dropped to roughly 16% of crashes per year during the pandemic. The same pattern can be observed when looking at KSI crashes. The percentage of crashes resulting in a KSI was highest in 2021 (8.1%).

Table 3: Reported Bicycle Crashes by Year, 2017-2021


year	# Crashes	% Crashes	# KSI Crashes	% KSI	% Crashes that Resulted in KSI
<b>2017</b>	545	22.4%	35	21.2%	6.4%
<b>2018</b>	578	23.8%	40	24.2%	6.9%
<b>2019</b>	545	22.4%	35	21.2%	6.4%
<b>2020</b>	379	15.6%	24	14.5%	6.3%
<b>2021</b>	385	15.8%	31	18.8%	8.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,432</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>

Map 1 through Map 3 display the location of bicyclist crashes by study period. During the 5-year study period (Map 1), crashes were concentrated near the Downtown area and along corridors that connect nearby neighborhoods to Downtown. During the pre-pandemic (Map 2), crashes followed a similar pattern and were concentrated near Downtown or along corridors connecting to Downtown. Crashes that occurred during the pandemic (Map 3) were more geographically dispersed and less concentrated near Downtown than during the pre-pandemic period. Streets with noticeably lower crash densities during the pandemic study period include Valencia St, Market St, The Embarcadero, Polk St, and many other streets within or near Downtown. This likely reflects changes in commuting to Downtown and may also reflect other changes in bicyclist and motorist travel behaviors and route preferences during

<sup>8</sup> **Magenta** text in the summary tables denote values of interest or data points related to key findings.

this time period. Step II of the San Francisco Active Communities Plan will include a deeper dive analysis of location-specific crash patterns and will focus on identifying crash risk factors, analyzing crashes along the High Injury Network, and investigating spatial patterns between the two time-periods.

Map 1: Bicyclist Crashes, 2017-2021




### Bicyclist Crashes 2017-2021


- Fatal and Severe Injury Crashes
- All Other Injury Crashes



Map 2: Bicyclist Crashes, 2017-2019




**Downtown Inset**




**Bicyclist Crashes  
2017-2019**

- Fatal and Severe Injury Crashes
- All Other Injury Crashes

Map 3: Bicyclist crashes, 2020-2021



**Downtown Inset**



**Bicyclist Crashes  
2020-2021**

- Fatal and Severe Injury Crashes
- All Other Injury Crashes



## Crashes by Injury Type

Crashes are summarized by bicyclist MSI in Table 4. Most crashes that involved a bicyclist during the 5-year time frame resulted in less-severe injuries, reported as either complaint of pain (47.1%) or other visible injury (43.1%). Crash rates for all injury severities were higher during the pre-pandemic study period (556 crashes per year) than in the pandemic study period (382 crashes per year). This difference between crash rates is likely related to activity levels during the pre-pandemic relative to those during the COVID-19 pandemic. A *Stay Home order* throughout San Francisco was in effect March 19, 2020, and a corresponding drop in all travel, but particularly motor vehicle travel, could offset any naturally expected increase in crashes from higher bicycle travel in some areas. Regardless of crash rates, the distributions of injury types between the two study periods are similar.

Table 4: Bicycle Crashes by Injury Severity, 2017-2021

Injury Type	2017-2019			2020-2021			2017-2021		
	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year
Fatal	7	0.4%	2.3	2	0.3%	1.0	9	0.4%	1.8
Severe	151	9.1%	50.3	77	10.1%	38.5	228	9.4%	45.6
Other Visible	705	42.3%	235.0	344	45.0%	172.0	1,049	43.1%	209.8
Complaint of	805	48.3%	268.3	341	44.6%	170.5	1,146	47.1%	229.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>2,432</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>486.4</b>

## Crashes by Movement-Based Crash Types

Pre-crash movement crash types were developed by combining the bicyclist's pre-crash movement with the other primary party's pre-crash movement<sup>9</sup>. Solo-bicycle crashes are noted in the crash type and bicycle-pedestrian crashes use the pedestrian "action" (no bicycle-pedestrian crash types are in the top 10). See Appendix B for crashes summarizes for every crash type, not just the top 10.

Table 5 summarizes bicycle crashes that occurred during the pre-pandemic study period by injury severity and crash type for the ten crash types that had the highest frequency of reported crashes. Crashes that did not involve any type of turning movement (i.e., proceeded straight) accounted for the largest share of crashes, particularly crashes with both parties proceeding straight (18.6% crashes and 17.7% KSI crashes). Most of these crashes involved both parties traveling perpendicularly (57% of crashes; 68% KSI crashes), followed by same direction (33% of crashes; 21% KSI crashes).

Solo-bicyclist crashes had the largest share of KSI crashes (19.6%). This finding makes sense as most instances when someone riding a bicycle falls or strikes an object is involved in a crash, the victim generally will not report the crash unless they are severely injured and require medical help. Many of

<sup>9</sup> Note: this crash type process will be updated in the Step II analysis, which will incorporate crash location (intersection vs. mid-block) and intersection control. Crash location will be spatially defined by proximity to the nearest intersection centroid. This revised crash type will help the team better understand the crash dynamics unique to specific location types, roadway characteristics, and land use and inform possible countermeasures to systemically improve safety throughout San Francisco.

these crashes were cited as the bicyclist traveling too fast for conditions (42%) and few crashes had a reported roadway condition that contributed to the crash (12%).

Crashes that involved a motorist making a left turn and striking a bicyclist proceeding straight accounted for the second largest share of overall crashes (12.9%) and third largest share of KSI crashes (10.8%). Crashes that involved a motorist making a right turn and striking a bicyclist proceeding straight had the third largest share of crashes (12.1%), fifth largest share of KSI crashes (7.6%), and a moderate-low share of crashes that resulted in a KSI outcome (5.9%). This finding is expected as a motorist's speed making a right turn is often slower than a motorist's speed making a left turn or proceeding straight, resulting in comparatively less kinetic energy transfer at the moment of impact.

Crashes that involved a bicyclist proceeding straight and a stopped motorist had the highest share of crashes that resulted in a KSI outcome (11.5%) and accounted for roughly 8% of KSI crashes (fourth highest), despite comprising only 6.8% of all crashes. These KSI crashes involved a motorist opening the vehicle door into the path of the bicyclist (i.e., dooring), either the motorist or the bicyclist traveling too slow or too fast for conditions, and a vehicle parked in bike lane. Dooring crashes were the predominant violation type and may suggest the need for additional physical separation between bicyclists and motor vehicles as well as educational outreach.

Table 5: Top 10 Bicycle Crashes by Pre-Crash Movements, 2017-2019

Rank	Bike + Motorist Movements	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
--	<i>Not top 10</i>	491	29.4%	163.7	42	26.6%	14.0	8.6%
1	Proceeding Straight, Proceeding Straight	310	18.6%	103.3	28	17.7%	9.3	9.0%
2	Proceeding Straight, Making Left	215	12.9%	71.7	17	10.8%	5.7	7.9%
3	Proceeding Straight, Making Right	202	12.1%	67.3	12	7.6%	4.0	5.9%
4	Solo Bike Proceeding Straight	139	8.3%	46.3	31	19.6%	10.3	22.3%
5	Proceeding Straight, Stopped	113	6.8%	37.7	13	8.2%	4.3	11.5%
6	Proceeding Straight, Parked	48	2.9%	16.0	5	3.2%	1.7	10.4%
7	Making Left Turn, Proceeding Straight	46	2.8%	15.3	4	2.5%	1.3	8.7%
8	Proceeding Straight, Making U Turn	40	2.4%	13.3	1	0.6%	0.3	2.5%
9	Proceeding Straight, Entering Traffic	33	2.0%	11.0	3	1.9%	1.0	9.1%
10	Proceeding Straight, Changing Lanes	31	1.9%	10.3	2	1.3%	0.7	6.5%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>9.5%</b>

Table 6 summarizes bicycle crashes that occurred during the pandemic study period by injury severity and crash type for the top ten crash types. The top crash types were similar during the pandemic study period as the pre-pandemic study period, but there were different concentrations of crashes by crash type. In particular, the pandemic study period had a higher percentage of KSI crashes that resulted from a bicyclist proceeding straight – motorist proceeding straight crash (26.9%). Most of these crashes had the same reported contributing factors as the pre-pandemic study period: disregarded traffic signal, failure to stop at stop sign, and traveling at unsafe speeds. Like the pre-pandemic study period,



most of these crashes involved both parties traveling perpendicularly (70% of crashes; 86% KSI crashes), followed by same direction (23% of crashes; 5% KSI crashes). Crashes that involved a bicyclist proceeding straight and a motorist making a left turn had a similar crash distribution as the pre-pandemic period, accounting for 13.7% of crashes and 9.0% of KSI crashes. Bicyclist proceeding straight and a motorist making a right turn accounted for a similar share of overall crashes (10.6%) but roughly half the share of KSI crashes (3.8%) compared to the pre-pandemic study period. Additionally, there were fewer crashes that involved a stopped or parked motor vehicle. Dooring crashes for these two crash types accounted for 63% (n=102) of crashes and 50% (n=9) of KSI crashes during the pre-pandemic period, in contrast to 46% of crashes (n=22) and 50% of KSI crashes (n=2) during the pandemic.

Table 6: Top 10 Bicycle Crashes by Pre-Crash Movements, 2020-2021

Rank	Bike + Motorist Movements	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
--	<i>Not top 10</i>	202	26.4%	101.0	23	29.5%	11.5	11.4%
1	Proceeding Straight, Proceeding Straight	185	24.2%	92.5	21	26.9%	10.5	11.4%
2	Proceeding Straight, Making Left	105	13.7%	52.5	7	9.0%	3.5	6.7%
3	Proceeding Straight, Making Right	81	10.6%	40.5	3	3.8%	1.5	3.7%
4	Solo Bike Proceeding Straight	78	10.2%	39.0	16	20.5%	8.0	20.5%
5	Proceeding Straight, Stopped	34	4.5%	17.0	3	3.8%	1.5	8.8%
6	Making Left Turn, Proceeding Straight	24	3.1%	12.0	2	2.6%	1.0	8.3%
7	Proceeding Straight, Making U Turn	18	2.4%	9.0	1	1.3%	0.5	5.6%
8	Proceeding Straight, Parked	14	1.8%	7.0	1	1.3%	0.5	7.1%
9	Proceeding Straight, Entering	12	1.6%	6.0	1	1.3%	0.5	8.3%
10	Proceeding Straight, Changing	11	1.4%	5.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10.2%</b>

### Crashes by Relative Direction (Bicycle-Motorist Crashes Only)

The relative direction of the bicyclist and motorist are summarized in Table 7 (pre-pandemic). Same direction crashes accounted for the largest share of crashes (46.5%) and KSI crashes (40.9%) but had a low percentage of crashes resulting in a KSI outcome (7.0%). Many of these crashes had a reported contributing factor cited as an improper or unsafe turn (29.1% crashes; 8.9% KSI crashes), dooring (15.8% crashes; 24.4% KSI crashes), and traveling too fast for conditions (12.5% crashes; 22.2% of KSI crashes). Perpendicular crashes accounted for the second largest share of crashes (34.0%) and KSI crashes (37.3%). Excluding unknown relative directions, perpendicular had the highest share of crashes that resulted in a KSI outcome (8.7%). Many of the perpendicular crashes involved a road user disregarding a traffic signal, improper or unsafe turn, failure to yield while making a turn, or disregarding a stop sign. Opposite direction crashes had the lowest share of crashes (13.0%) and KSI for crashes (10.9%) with known party direction of travel. Nearly half of the opposite direction crashes involved a party failing to yield while making a left turn or U-turn (34.8%), making an improper turn

(11.0%), or the bicyclist traveling in the wrong direction travel (9.9%). Crashes that involved a bicyclist traveling in the wrong direction of travel may be an indication of a bicycle network gap or lack of safe or comfortable crossing opportunities.

Table 7: Relative Direction of Travel between Bicyclist and Motorists, 2017-2019

Relative Direction	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Same	647	46.5%	215.7	45	40.9%	15.0	7.0%
Perpendicular	472	34.0%	157.3	41	37.3%	13.7	8.7%
Opposite	181	13.0%	60.3	12	10.9%	4.0	6.6%
Unknown	87	6.3%	29.0	12	10.9%	4.0	13.8%
Missing one party	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	-	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,390</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>463.3</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>36.7</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

Table 8 summarizes bicycle crashes by relative direction for crashes that occurred during the pandemic. Unlike pre-pandemic crashes, perpendicular crashes accounted for the largest share of crashes (47.1%) and KSI crashes (52.7%). Perpendicular crashes had a much larger share of KSI crashes and had a higher chance of a crash resulting in a KSI outcome (9.8%) compared to the pre-pandemic study period. Opposite direction crashes also accounted for a larger share of crashes. Many of these crashes are cited as the bicyclist traveling the wrong direction and the outcome had a higher chance of resulting in a KSI outcome compared to the pre-pandemic period. Aside from that difference, the contributing factors reported by the responding officer had similar distributions between study periods.

Table 8: Relative Direction of Travel between Bicyclist and Motorists, 2020-2021

Relative Direction	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Perpendicular	297	47.1%	148.5	29	52.7%	14.5	9.8%
Same	221	35.0%	110.5	16	29.1%	8.0	7.2%
Opposite	85	13.5%	42.5	8	14.5%	4.0	9.4%
Unknown	28	4.4%	14.0	2	3.6%	1.0	7.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>315.5</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>8.7%</b>

## Crashes by Reported Violations (Bicycle-Motor Vehicle Crashes Only)

The following section summarizes crashes by generalized reported violation types (see Appendix for the list of violation codes, definitions, and the generalized violation types summarized in the tables below). Similar violations have been grouped to simplify the analysis and to yield potentially more useful insights. It's important to note that some reporting bias or errors in reporting the primary collision violation may be present in some of these crashes. Responding officers attempt to assign each crash a primary collision violation based on the crash investigation and information provided from the parties (and/or witnesses) involved, but that does not always lead to the correct violation assignment.

Analyzing crash types, crash dynamics, and contextual characteristics can help provide a more objective picture of what contributed to the crash. It is recommended to interpret the following findings with caution.

Table 9 summarizes bicycle-motor vehicle crashes by reported violation types for crashes that occurred during the pre-pandemic period. The most frequent violation types include improper or unsafe turn (21.3% crashes; 15.5% KSI crashes), failure to yield while making a left turn (9.8% crashes, 7.3% KSI crashes), and traveling too fast for conditions (8.9% crashes; 15.5% KSI crashes). Improper turns and traveling too fast for conditions had the highest share of KSI crashes followed by disregarding the signal (11.8%) and dooring (10.0%). The majority of improper or unsafe turn crashes involved a motorist making a right turn (42.6%) followed by a motorist making a left turn (15.9%). A larger share of left turn crashes resulted in a KSI outcome (12.8%) than for right turn crashes (4.2%), which is likely due to left turning motorists traveling at a higher speed at the time of the crash.

The crash data includes a “party at fault” attribute *which should be interpreted with caution due to potential reporting biases or errors but may provide high-level insights into contributing factors. Additionally, bicyclists who were fatally injured were most likely unable to provide their testimony, which could lead to an inaccurate citation.* For overall bicycle-motor vehicle crashes, motorists were cited as the party at fault for 52.8% of crashes and 46.4% of KSI crashes, whereas bicyclists were cited as the party at fault for 33.4% of crashes and 35.5% of KSI crashes. Bicyclist at fault crashes were disproportionately severe compared to motorist at fault crashes. Looking at the party at fault for the highest frequency violation types may help us understand some behavioral patterns related to crashes.

Motorists were most frequently the party at fault for improper or unsafe turns (motorists cited in 72.3% of crashes and 88.2% of KSI crashes). There were roughly the same number of KSI crashes for at fault motorists making a right turn as there were making a left turn. The most common pre-crash movement for at fault bicyclists involved the bicyclist making a left turn while the motorists was proceeding straight (15 crashes; 1 KSI crash).

Failure to yield while making a left turn was cited as the motorist being at fault for 82.4% of crashes and 87.5% of KSI crashes. Most motorist at fault crashes involved both parties traveling in opposite directions (42.6% of crashes; 25.0% of KSI crashes) at the time of the crash, followed by perpendicular (30.9% of crashes; 37.5% of KSI crashes). Roughly half of these motorists at fault crashes occurred at a location with a functioning traffic control device<sup>10</sup>.

Bicyclists were most frequently cited as the party at fault for traveling too fast for conditions<sup>11</sup> (57.3% of crashes; 58.8% of KSI crashes). Most crashes involved a bicyclist proceeding straight and traveling in the same direction as the motorist. For both bicyclist at fault and motorist at fault crashes, roughly 14% of crashes resulted in a KSI outcome.

---

<sup>10</sup> A more robust analysis into traffic control devices will be conducted using SFMTA traffic control data.

<sup>11</sup> Many cities throughout the US have observed an increased in motor vehicle speeds during the pandemic. Data related to bicyclist speed is not readily available and there is not known research that would suggest changes in bicyclist travel speeds before or during the pandemic. Additionally, the “traveling too fast for conditions” violation code may be used as a “catch-all” code for citing a bicyclist at fault, thereby artificially inflating the frequency of this violation type.

Table 9: Top 10 General Violation Types, 2017-2019

General Violation Type	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Improper or unsafe turn	296	21.3%	98.7	17	15.5%	5.7	5.7%
Failure to yield (left	136	9.8%	45.3	8	7.3%	2.7	5.9%
Too fast for conditions	124	8.9%	41.3	17	15.5%	5.7	13.7%
Dooring	124	8.9%	41.3	11	10.0%	3.7	8.9%
Disregard traffic signal	121	8.7%	40.3	13	11.8%	4.3	10.7%
Unknown	72	5.2%	24.0	7	6.4%	2.3	9.7%
Failure to yield	65	4.7%	21.7	3	2.7%	1.0	4.6%
Improper stop	64	4.6%	21.3	9	8.2%	3.0	14.1%
Overtaking	59	4.2%	19.7	1	0.9%	0.3	1.7%
Keep right	41	2.9%	13.7	2	1.8%	0.7	4.9%
Not Top 10 <sup>12</sup>	288	20.7%	96.0	22	20.0%	7.3	7.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,390</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>463.3</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>36.7</b>	<b>7.9%</b>

Table 10 summarizes bicycle-motor vehicle crashes by reported violation type for crashes that occurred during the pandemic period. The most frequent violation types include improper or unsafe turn (20.0% of crashes; 12.7% of KSI crashes), disregarding a traffic signal (13.0% of crashes, 20.0% of KSI crashes), and traveling too fast for conditions (10.5% of crashes; 10.9% of KSI crashes).

For overall bicycle-motor vehicle crashes, during the pre-pandemic motorists were cited as the party at fault for 47.4% of crashes and 29.1% of KSI crashes, whereas bicyclists were cited as the party at fault for 40.9% of crashes and 56.4% of KSI crashes during the pandemic. The party at fault for KSI crashes was substantially different during the pandemic period compared to the pre-pandemic period. Similarly, bicyclist at fault crashes were disproportionately severe during the pandemic relative to motorist at fault crashes.

Improper or unsafe turns were associated with the largest share of overall crashes (20%) and the second largest share of KSI crashes (12.7%). These crashes generally involved an at fault motorist making a right turn (30.2%), making a left turn (12.7%), and changing lanes (7.9%). When the bicyclist was at fault, the bicyclist was most frequently making a left turn (7.9%), followed by changing lanes (5.6%). This violation type did not generally result in a high share of crashes resulting in a KSI outcome: 5.6% of these crashes resulted in a KSI compared to the pandemic average for all crash types of 8.7%.

Disregarding traffic signals had the largest share of KSI crashes and had a relatively high share of crashes that resulted in a KSI outcome (13.4%), indicating a potentially greater tendency toward severity than other violation types. Two-thirds of these crashes assigned fault to the bicyclist. Most crashes involved the bicyclist and motorist traveling in perpendicular travel directions.

<sup>12</sup> There were 26 violation types not in the top 10. The violation type with the largest share of crashes accounted for 2.4% of crashes.

Table 10: Top 10 General Violation Types, 2020-2021

General Violation Type	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Improper or unsafe turn	126	20.0%	42.0	7	12.7%	2.3	5.6%
Disregard traffic signal	82	13.0%	27.3	11	20.0%	3.7	13.4%
Too fast for conditions	66	10.5%	22.0	6	10.9%	2.0	9.1%
Failure to yield (left turn)	54	8.6%	18.0	3	5.5%	1.0	5.6%
Failure to yield	42	6.7%	14.0	3	5.5%	1.0	7.1%
Improper stop	42	6.7%	14.0	2	3.6%	0.7	4.8%
Unknown	37	5.9%	12.3	3	5.5%	1.0	8.1%
Keep right	32	5.1%	10.7	4	7.3%	1.3	12.5%
Dooring	27	4.3%	9.0	3	5.5%	1.0	11.1%
Overtaking	23	3.6%	7.7	5	9.1%	1.7	21.7%
Not Top 10 <sup>13</sup>	100	15.8%	33.3	8	14.5%	2.7	8.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>210.3</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>18.3</b>	<b>8.7%</b>

### Crashes by Time of Day

Crashes by time of day are summarized in Table 11 for the pre-pandemic time period. Bicycle crashes overall and KSI crashes specifically occurred most frequently near typical commute periods (6am-9am) and (3pm-6pm), with a moderate share of crashes that occurred midday and fewer crashes during the late-night/early morning hours. While crashes were less frequent during the late-night and early morning hours, those crashes tended to be more severe, with 13-29% of those crashes resulting in a KSI outcome compared to 7% during the day. The midnight-3am period only accounted for 2.3% of crashes but accounted for 7% of KSI crashes. This higher share of crashes resulting in a KSI outcome is consistent with the findings noted in the lighting conditions portion of this memo – dark lighting conditions are associated with higher injury severity when a crash occurs.

<sup>13</sup> There were 23 violation types not in the top 10. The violation type with the largest share of crashes accounted for 1.9% of crashes.

Table 11: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Time of Day, 2017-2019

Time of Day	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
12:00-2:59am	38	2.3%	12.7	11	7.0%	3.7	29%
3:00-5:59am	11	0.7%	3.7	3	1.9%	1.0	27%
6:00-8:59am	241	14.4%	80.3	29	18.4%	9.7	12%
9:00-11:59am	310	18.6%	103.3	23	14.6%	7.7	7%
12:00-2:59pm	257	15.4%	85.7	19	12.0%	6.3	7%
3:00-5:59pm	365	21.9%	121.7	33	20.9%	11.0	9%
6:00-8:59pm	330	19.8%	110.0	25	15.8%	8.3	8%
9:00-11:59pm	112	6.7%	37.3	14	8.9%	4.7	13%
Unknown	4	0.2%	1.3	1	0.6%	0.3	25%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>9%</b>

Table 12 summarizes crashes by time of day for crashes that occurred during the pandemic period. Like pre-pandemic crash patterns, crashes are generally concentrated around the peak commute period. Two noticeable differences between the two study periods include the larger share of midday and early evening crashes and a lower share of morning crashes during the pandemic study periods. Additionally, the crashes that did occur in the early morning hours were less likely to result in a KSI compared to those in pre-pandemic years. Conversely, the pandemic-era evening crashes were more likely to result in a KSI compared to pre-pandemic years.

Table 12: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Time of Day, 2020-2021

Time of Day	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
12:00-2:59am	15	2.0%	7.5	3	3.8%	1.5	20%
3:00-5:59am	10	1.3%	5.0	2	2.6%	1.0	20%
6:00-8:59am	74	9.7%	37.0	8	10.3%	4.0	11%
9:00-11:59am	103	13.5%	51.5	9	11.5%	4.5	9%
12:00-2:59pm	159	20.8%	79.5	16	20.5%	8.0	10%
3:00-5:59pm	202	26.4%	101.0	15	19.2%	7.5	7%
6:00-8:59pm	144	18.8%	72.0	18	23.1%	9.0	13%
9:00-11:5pm	57	7.5%	28.5	7	9.0%	3.5	12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10%</b>

Figure 2 and Figure 3 display crashes by hour of day stratified by weekend vs. weekday for the pre-pandemic and pandemic time periods, respectively. Weekday bicyclist volumes are typically concentrated during peak commute periods whereas weekend bicycle volumes are often highest midday, and it's common to observe higher frequencies of bicycle crashes during these time periods due to higher levels of exposure. This typicality is observable in Figure 2 (pre-pandemic), but not in Figure 3 (pandemic). This difference is likely associated with the Stay Home order and a higher rate of working from home, as well as increased recreational trips. A comparison between this finding and the Bike Count analysis being conducted as part of this planning effort may help nuance these findings.




Figure 2: Crashes by Hour of Day Stratified by Weekend vs. Weekday, 2017-2019




Figure 3: Crashes by Hour of Day Stratified by Weekend vs. Weekday, 2020-2021

### Crashes by Day of Week

Crash rates by day of week, injury severity, and by study period are summarized in Table 13. Crash rates were generally higher for each day during the pre-pandemic study period. Overall crashes and KSI

crashes were generally concentrated during the weekday for both study periods. During the pre-pandemic study period, crash rates were lowest during the weekend and on Monday. However, KSI crash rates were slightly more concentrated between Saturday through Monday during the pandemic study period compared to the pre-pandemic and 5-year study periods.

Table 13: Bicycle Crash Rates by Day of Week

Day of Week	Crash Rate/Year			KSI Crash Rate/Year		
	2017-2019	2020-2021	2017-2021	2017-2019	2020-2021	2017-2021
Sunday	52.00	44.50	<b>49.00</b>	3.67	4.50	<b>4.00</b>
Monday	70.67	41.00	<b>58.80</b>	5.33	6.00	<b>5.60</b>
Tuesday	87.33	61.50	<b>77.00</b>	8.67	4.00	<b>6.80</b>
Wednesday	95.67	59.00	<b>81.00</b>	10.00	6.00	<b>8.40</b>
Thursday	100.00	62.50	<b>85.00</b>	10.33	5.50	<b>8.40</b>
Friday	89.67	67.50	<b>80.80</b>	8.00	8.00	<b>8.00</b>
Saturday	60.67	51.00	<b>56.80</b>	4.67	5.00	<b>4.80</b>
Unknown	0.00	0.50	<b>0.20</b>	0.00	0.00	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>417.00</b>	<b>387.50</b>	<b>488.60</b>	<b>38.00</b>	<b>39.00</b>	<b>46.00</b>

The distribution of crashes by day of week is summarized in Table 14 (pre-pandemic) and Table 15 (pandemic). For both pre-pandemic and pandemic study periods, crashes occurred least often during the weekend and early weekdays (specifically Monday). Comparing the distribution of KSI crashes, pre-pandemic crashes were generally concentrated during weekdays (39.9% of KSI crashes; highest on Wednesday and Thursday), whereas KSI crashes during the pandemic period were highest on Fridays (20.5%) and otherwise relatively high on Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday (44.9% cumulatively).

The percentage of overall crashes and KSI crashes that occurred during the weekend was slightly higher during the pandemic study period compared to the pre-pandemic study period. This is likely associated with changes in travel behaviors, increases in recreational bicycling (typically occurring during the weekend), and higher rates of people working from home.

Table 14: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Day of Week, 2017-2019

Day of week	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Sunday	156	9.4%	52.0	11	7.0%	3.7	7.1%
Monday	212	12.7%	70.7	17	10.8%	5.7	8.0%
Tuesday	262	15.7%	87.3	27	17.1%	9.0	10.3%
Wednesday	287	17.2%	95.7	32	20.3%	10.7	11.1%
Thursday	300	18.0%	100.0	31	19.6%	10.3	10.3%
Friday	269	16.1%	89.7	26	16.5%	8.7	9.7%
Saturday	182	10.9%	60.7	14	8.9%	4.7	7.7%
<b>2017-2019 Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>9.5%</b>



Table 15: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Day of Week, 2020-2022

Day of week	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Sunday	88	11.5%	44.0	9	11.5%	4.5	10.2%
Monday	82	10.7%	41.0	12	15.4%	6.0	14.6%
Tuesday	119	15.6%	59.5	8	10.3%	4.0	6.7%
Wednesday	117	15.3%	58.5	12	15.4%	6.0	10.3%
Thursday	123	16.1%	61.5	11	14.1%	5.5	8.9%
Friday	132	17.3%	66.0	16	20.5%	8.0	12.1%
Saturday	102	13.4%	51.0	10	12.8%	5.0	9.8%
Unknown	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
<b>2020-2021 Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10.2%</b>

### Crashes by Lighting Condition

Crashes by reported lighting condition are summarized in Table 16 (pre-pandemic) and Table 17 (pandemic). Both study periods have similar overall crash and KSI crash distributions – most crashes occurred during daylight conditions. This is expected as most trips are made during this period with daylight conditions. However, lighting condition clearly affects safety: crashes that occurred in darkness or low-light (i.e., dusk or dawn) conditions were much more likely to result in a KSI outcome compared to those that occurred during daylight. Lack of visibility and slower perception and reaction times are likely contributing factors for these nighttime crashes. Slower perception and reaction times can result in the motorist traveling at a higher speed (and transferring more kinetic energy) at the time of the crash, leading to a more severe outcome.

Table 16: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Lighting Condition, 2017-2019

lighting	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Daylight	1,223	73.3%	407.7	95	62.5%	31.7	7.8%
Dark - Street Lights	320	19.2%	106.7	41	27.0%	13.7	12.8%
Dusk - Dawn	72	4.3%	24.0	9	5.9%	3.0	12.5%
Not Stated	34	2.0%	11.3	4	2.6%	1.3	11.8%
Dark - No Street Lights	16	1.0%	5.3	2	1.3%	0.7	12.5%
Dark - Street Lights Not	3	0.2%	1.0	1	0.7%	0.3	33.3%
<b>2017-2019 Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>50.7</b>	<b>9.1%</b>

Table 17: Bicycle Crashes by Severity and Lighting Condition, 2020-2022

lighting	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Daylight	563	73.7%	281.5	53	67.9%	26.5	9.4%
Dark - Street Lights	162	21.2%	81.0	19	24.4%	9.5	11.7%
Dusk - Dawn	23	3.0%	11.5	3	3.8%	1.5	13.0%
Not Stated	9	1.2%	4.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dark - No Street Lights	5	0.7%	2.5	2	2.6%	1.0	40.0%
Dark - Street Lights Not Functioning	2	0.3%	1.0	1	1.3%	0.5	50.0%
<b>2020-2022 Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10.2%</b>

### Crashes by Under the Influence of Alcohol

Between 2017-2021, only ten crashes that involved a motorist or a bicyclist who was under the influence and impaired. This is substantially fewer crashes than anticipated. Further research and coordination may help us understand this very low number of alcohol-related crashes.

Table 18: Bicycle Crashes that Involve a Party Who Was Under the Influence of Alcohol, 2017-2021

Party Type	2017-2019	2020-2022	Total
Bicyclist	1	3	4
Driver	3	2	5
Pedestrian	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>

### Crashes by Weather Condition

Crashes are summarized by reported weather conditions for pre-pandemic crashes (Table 19) and pandemic crashes (Table 20). The vast majority of crashes occurred in clear weather conditions for both the pre-pandemic (86%) and pandemic (90%) study periods. Crashes that occurred during the pandemic when the weather condition was cloudy were slightly more severe compared to clear conditions, though the number of KSI crashes is relatively small and may be a contributing factor in the higher share of crashes resulting in a KSI outcome.

Table 19: Bicycle Crashes by Weather Condition, 2017-2019

Weather	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Clear	1,431	85.8%	477.0	136	86.1%	45.3	9.5%
Cloudy	125	7.5%	41.7	12	7.6%	4.0	9.6%
Raining	53	3.2%	17.7	3	1.9%	1.0	5.7%
Not Stated	39	2.3%	13.0	3	1.9%	1.0	7.7%
Other	14	0.8%	4.7	2	1.3%	0.7	14.3%
Wind	5	0.3%	1.7	1	0.6%	0.3	20.0%
Fog	1	0.1%	0.3	1	0.6%	0.3	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>9.5%</b>

Table 20: Bicycle Crashes by Weather Condition, 2020-2021

Weather	# Crashes	% Crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Clear	684	89.5%	342.0	69	88.5%	34.5	10.1%
Cloudy	57	7.5%	28.5	8	10.3%	4.0	14.0%
Raining	11	1.4%	5.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated	9	1.2%	4.5	1	1.3%	0.5	11.1%
Other	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10.2%</b>

## Parties Involved

This section reports on the number of parties involved in bicycle crashes – the main road users/vehicles involved in the crash, such as drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and parked vehicles. There will be more than one party for every crash record summarized in this memo except for solo-bicyclist crashes.

Analyzing the parties involved in crashes with at least one bicyclist provides additional insight into these crashes and potential crash dynamics. This analysis compared the distribution of parties involved in crashes to the population distribution of San Francisco. Values greater than one suggest that a certain segment of the population is overrepresented on a per capita basis, while values less than one suggest that that segment of the population is underrepresented on the same basis. It's important to note that this comparison is imperfect in two ways. First, if more or fewer people from a segment of the population bicycle, we would expect that to be reflected in crash rates, all else equal – and this proportion of people who bicycle may not reflect their per capita proportion. We likely see this, for example, in trends related to age and sex, and potentially related to race. In the absence of more nuanced exposure data, however, a per capita understanding is still valuable to help us understand how crashes are distributed among various segments of the population. Second, the home zip code is not readily available for all parties involved in the crash, so we cannot rule out that some people riding a bicycle or driving a motor vehicle live outside of San Francisco and their inclusion will therefore marginally affect the accuracy of the victim-to-population ratio. This affect is more likely to apply to drivers than to bicyclists in San Francisco.

### Bicyclist Age

Table 21 summarizes the number of bicyclists involved in a crash by age for the three study periods, Figure 4 displays bicyclist representation by age, Figure 5 and displays KSI bicyclist representation by age. Bicyclists aged 25-39 – and particularly those aged 25-34 – accounted for the largest share of bicyclists involved in crashes in both time periods. Bicyclists aged 20-34 were the most overrepresented parties involved in a crash for all three study periods. Bicyclists aged 40-44 and 50-54 were overrepresented to a greater degree during the pandemic periods than in the pre-pandemic study period. Younger bicyclists were underrepresented in all years, but comprised a higher percentage of the parties during the pandemic compared to pre-pandemic crashes.

The distribution of KSI crashes by bicyclist age closely resembles the distribution for overall crashes. Similar to overall crashes, bicyclists aged between 20-25 and 30-39 were the most overrepresented in KSI crashes. There are some noticeable differences between the pre-pandemic and pandemic KSI bicyclist representation for bicyclists aged between 40-44 and 50-54, which is largely due to small sample sizes for both study periods.

Table 21: Number of Bicyclists Involved in a crash, by age and study period, 2017-2022

Bicyclist Age	% Parties			Population		Representation		
	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years	#	%	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years
0 – 4	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	38,219	4.4%	0.00	0.06	0.02
5 – 9	0.2%	0.9%	0.4%	30,641	3.5%	0.05	0.25	0.12
10 – 14	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%	31,831	3.7%	0.18	0.28	0.21
15 – 19	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	31,520	3.6%	0.70	0.70	0.70
20 – 24	9.1%	7.4%	8.6%	44,753	5.2%	1.77	1.44	1.66
25 – 29	18.5%	16.4%	17.8%	94,090	10.9%	1.70	1.51	1.64
30 – 34	18.8%	18.1%	18.6%	101,572	11.7%	1.60	1.54	1.58
35 – 39	12.3%	11.3%	12.0%	79,269	9.2%	1.34	1.23	1.31
40 – 44	8.6%	9.7%	9.0%	60,203	7.0%	1.24	1.40	1.29
45 – 49	7.3%	6.4%	7.0%	58,302	6.7%	1.08	0.95	1.04
50 – 54	6.6%	9.0%	7.4%	55,772	6.4%	1.03	1.39	1.14
55 – 59	6.1%	6.0%	6.1%	52,366	6.0%	1.01	1.00	1.00
60 – 64	3.0%	3.3%	3.1%	49,442	5.7%	0.53	0.58	0.55
65 – 69	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	43,329	5.0%	0.47	0.46	0.46
70 – 74	1.0%	1.4%	1.1%	35,260	4.1%	0.25	0.35	0.28
75 – 79	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	21,605	2.5%	0.17	0.31	0.21
80 – 84	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	15,965	1.8%	0.13	0.14	0.13
85+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21,794	2.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unknown	2.3%	2.9%	2.5%	-	-	-	-	-
Total	100.0%	100.0	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-
	1,676	781	2,457	865,933	-	-	-	-
Representation values greater than 1 indicates that age cohort is overrepresented in crashes. Values less than 1 indicate underrepresentation.								

Figure 4: Bicyclist Representation by Age, 2017-2021





Figure 5: KSI Bicyclist Representation by Age, 2017-2021



## Driver Age

Table 22 summarizes drivers involved in bicycle crashes by age and study period, Figure 6 displays the representation of drivers by age, Figure 7 and displays the representation of drivers by age involved in KSI crashes. The distributions of drivers between study periods are similar, with only minor differences no larger than two percentage points. Drivers aged 30-34 accounted for the largest share of drivers involved in crashes with a bicyclist for all three study periods. Like bicyclists, drivers were overrepresented on a per capita basis across a broad range of age cohorts in one or both time periods (20-24 and 35-59). Drivers aged 25-39 were generally underrepresented in these same time periods.

Driver representation in KSI crashes was slightly different than for overall crashes. Drivers aged 25-29 and 40-49 were the most overrepresented in the pre-pandemic period, whereas drivers aged 30-39 and 45-59 were the most overrepresented during the pandemic study period. Representation for both study periods should be interpreted with caution due to the smaller sample sizes for KSI crashes (116 drivers for pre-pandemic study period, 56 drivers for the pandemic study period).

Table 22: Number of Drivers Involved in a crash by age and study period, 2017-2022

Driver Age	% Parties			Population		Representation		
	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years	# Population	% Population	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years
0 – 4 <sup>14</sup>	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	38,219	4.4%	0.02	0.11	0.04
5 – 9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30,641	3.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 – 14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31,831	3.7%	0.00	0.00	0.00
15 – 19	2.1%	1.3%	1.8%	31,520	3.6%	0.58	0.34	0.51
20 – 24	6.4%	5.9%	6.3%	44,753	5.2%	1.24	1.15	1.21
25 – 29	8.6%	6.9%	8.1%	94,090	10.9%	0.80	0.63	0.75
30 – 34	10.3%	10.2%	10.3%	101,572	11.7%	0.88	0.87	0.88
35 – 39	8.3%	10.2%	8.9%	79,269	9.2%	0.91	1.11	0.97
40 – 44	8.2%	8.3%	8.2%	60,203	7.0%	1.17	1.19	1.18
45 – 49	8.4%	8.3%	8.3%	58,302	6.7%	1.24	1.23	1.24
50 – 54	8.2%	7.8%	8.1%	55,772	6.4%	1.28	1.21	1.26
55 – 59	6.7%	8.3%	7.2%	52,366	6.0%	1.10	1.37	1.19
60 – 64	5.6%	4.9%	5.4%	49,442	5.7%	0.98	0.85	0.94
65 – 69	4.1%	2.8%	3.7%	43,329	5.0%	0.81	0.56	0.74
70 – 74	3.1%	2.2%	2.8%	35,260	4.1%	0.76	0.54	0.69
75 – 79	1.1%	1.9%	1.3%	21,605	2.5%	0.42	0.75	0.52
80 – 84	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%	15,965	1.8%	0.34	0.51	0.39
85+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21,794	2.5%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unknown	18.3%	19.7%	18.7%	-	-	-	-	-
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	-	-
	1,423	639	2,062	865,933	-	-	-	-
Representation values greater than 1 indicates that age cohort is overrepresented in crashes. Values less than 1 indicate underrepresentation.								

<sup>14</sup> Values greater than 0% for cohorts younger than 16 years of age are likely reporting errors in the crash data.

Figure 6: Driver Representation by Age, 2017-2021





Figure 7: Driver Representation in KSI crashes by Age, 2017-2021





## Bicyclist Race

**Disclaimer:** Party race is based on officer's assumption or visual impression, which can be problematic and inaccurate. Additionally, there are only five racial categories (excludes "Not Stated") within the crash data, in contrast to the US Census, which has nearly twice as many race and ethnicity categories. The victim representation and comparison made to the San Francisco population should be interpreted with caution given these reporting shortcomings.

Table 23 summarizes bicyclist race for the pre-pandemic study period. White bicyclists accounted for the largest share of bicyclists involved in a crash (57%), followed by Hispanic bicyclists (13%). When comparing the share of parties to the share of population by race, Black bicyclists were the most overrepresented (1.91) party involved in a crash, followed by white bicyclists (1.54). The Black population in San Francisco was 5%, but 9.6% of crashes involved a Black bicyclist. While these ratios do not account for the percentage of the population that rides a bike, they indicate a need to explore equity-related issues in order to understand the potential factors contributing to this disproportion. Additional research is needed to better understand the travel behaviors and mode use for each race.

Table 23: Bicyclist by Race, 2017-2019

Bicyclist Race	# Bicyclists	% of Bicyclists	# Population	% Population	Bicyclist Representation
Asian	182	10.9%	286,518	35.1%	0.31
Black	161	9.6%	40,955	5.0%	1.91
Hispanic	211	12.6%	128,030	15.7%	0.80
White	959	57.2%	302,182	37.1%	1.54
Other	131	7.8%	57,516	7.1%	1.11
Not Stated	32	1.9%	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,676</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100%</b>	-

Table 24 summarizes bicyclist race for the pre-pandemic study period for KSI crashes. The distribution and representation of KSI bicyclist by race was similar to overall crashes. Black bicyclists were the most overrepresented (1.70) followed by white bicyclists (1.62).

Table 24: KSI Bicyclist by Race, 2017-2019

Bicyclist Race	# KSI Bicyclists	% of KSI Bicyclists	# Population	% Population	KSI Bicyclist Representation
Asian	17	11.2%	286,518	35.1%	0.32
Black	13	8.6%	40,955	5.0%	1.70
Hispanic	18	11.8%	128,030	15.7%	0.75
White	91	59.9%	302,182	37.1%	1.62
Other	10	6.6%	57,516	7.1%	0.93
Not Stated	3	2.0%	-	0.0%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	-

Table 25 summarizes bicyclist race for the pandemic study period. The distribution of victims was somewhat like the pre-pandemic periods, but with some key differences. Black bicyclist representation

in crashes was even higher in the pandemic period (2.19). Hispanic bicyclists were slightly overrepresented in crashes (1.19), compared to being underrepresented during the pre-pandemic period. Lastly, white bicyclists are still overrepresented in crashes but to a lesser degree than during the pre-pandemic period.

Table 25: Bicyclist by Race, 2020-2021

Bicyclist Race	# Bicyclists	% of Bicyclists	# Population	% Population	Bicyclist Representation
Asian	102	13.1%	286,518	35.1%	0.37
Black	86	11.0%	40,955	5.0%	2.19
Hispanic	146	18.7%	128,030	15.7%	1.19
White	394	50.4%	302,182	37.1%	1.36
Other	49	6.3%	57,516	7.1%	0.89
Not Stated	4	0.5%	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100%</b>	-

Table 26 summarizes bicyclist race for the pandemic study period for KSI crashes. The distribution and representation of KSI bicyclist by race was similar to overall crashes during the pandemic, with the exception that Hispanic bicyclists were underrepresented. Once again, Black bicyclists were the most overrepresented (2.30), followed by white bicyclists (1.49).

Table 26: KSI Bicyclist by Race, 2020-2021

Bicyclist Race	# KSI Bicyclists	% of KSI Bicyclists	# Population	% Population	KSI Bicyclist Representation
Asian	14	17.9%	286,518	35.1%	0.51
Black	9	11.5%	40,955	5.0%	2.30
Hispanic	9	11.5%	128,030	15.7%	0.73
White	43	55.1%	302,182	37.1%	1.49
Other	3	3.8%	57,516	7.1%	0.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	-

## Driver Race

The home zip code is not readily available for all parties involved in the crash, therefore we cannot rule out that some people driving a motor vehicle live outside of San Francisco and their inclusion will therefore marginally affect the accuracy of the victim-to-population ratio. This affect is more likely to apply to drivers than to bicyclists in San Francisco.

Table 27 summarizes driver race for the pre-pandemic study period. White drivers accounted for the largest share of drivers involved in a crash with a bicyclist (32%), followed by Asian (15.7%) and Black (15.5%) drivers. Like bicyclist representation, Black drivers were the most overrepresented driver group by a large margin, followed by "Other" (1.78).

Table 27: Driver by Race, 2017-2019

Driver Race	# Drivers	% of Drivers	# Population	% Population	Driver Representation
Asian	223	15.7%	286,518	35.1%	0.45
Black	191	13.4%	40,955	5.0%	2.67
Hispanic	217	15.2%	128,030	15.7%	0.97
White	453	31.8%	302,182	37.1%	0.86
Other	179	12.6%	57,516	7.1%	1.78
Not Stated	160	11.2%	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,423</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100%</b>	-

Table 28 summarizes driver race for the pre-pandemic study period for KSI crashes. The distribution of drivers by race involved in a KSI crashes is similar to the distribution for overall crashes except for the larger share of drivers that did not have an assigned racial category (22%). These crashes may be related to hit-and-run crashes, which are not identified in the study crash data. Similar to overall crashes, Black drivers were disproportionately involved in KSI crashes (2.23).

Table 28: Driver by Race Involved in KSI Crashes, 2017-2019

Driver Race	# Drivers	% of Drivers	# Population	% Population	Driver Representation
Asian	20	17.2%	286,518	35.1%	0.49
Black	13	11.2%	40,955	5.0%	2.23
Hispanic	18	15.5%	128,030	15.7%	0.99
White	31	26.7%	302,182	37.1%	0.72
Other	9	7.8%	57,516	7.1%	1.10
Not Stated	25	21.6%	-	0.0%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	-

Table 29 summarizes driver race for the pandemic study period. White drivers were again the most frequently involved racial category (26.6%), followed by Hispanic (18.9%) and Asian (18.2%) drivers (in contrast to the pre-pandemic period). Like the pre-pandemic period, Black drivers were the most overrepresented (2.65) group, followed by “Other” (1.66) and Hispanic (1.21). Hispanic drivers were slightly underrepresented during the pre-pandemic study period.

Table 29: Driver by Race, 2020-2021

Driver Race	# Drivers	% of Drivers	# Population	% Population	Driver Representation
Asian	116	18.2%	286,518	35.1%	0.52
Black	85	13.3%	40,955	5.0%	2.65
Hispanic	121	18.9%	128,030	15.7%	1.21
White	170	26.6%	302,182	37.1%	0.72
Other	75	11.7%	57,516	7.1%	1.66
Not Stated	72	11.3%	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100%</b>	-

Table 30 summarizes driver race for the pandemic study period for KSI crashes. The distribution of drivers by race involved in KSI crashes differed from the distribution for overall crashes, in that Asian (29%), Black (18%), and white (35%) drivers accounted for a larger share for KSI crashes compared to overall crashes. This difference may be related to changes to driving behaviors or statistical noise due to KSI crashes having a smaller sample size. Like overall crashes, Black drivers were disproportionately involved in KSI crashes (3.66).

Table 30: Driver by Race Involved in KSI Crashes, 2020-2021

Driver Race	# Drivers	% of Drivers	# Population	% Population	Driver Representation
Asian	14	28.6%	286,518	35.1%	0.81
Black	9	18.4%	40,955	5.0%	3.66
Hispanic	6	12.2%	128,030	15.7%	0.78
White	17	34.7%	302,182	37.1%	0.94
Other	3	6.1%	57,516	7.1%	0.87
<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>815,201</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	

## Bicyclist and Driver Race

Table 31 and Table 32 summarize the number of parties involved in each crash for both the bicyclist and driver involved (only includes the first two parties involved – numbers will not match the previous race tables). Values greater than one indicate that particular bicyclist race was disproportionately involved in crashes with drivers of the corresponding driver race. These values are calculated by dividing the bicyclist percentage by the driver race percentage and are not per capita based, therefore these values cannot be compared to the other proportionality measures discussed in this analysis.

White bicyclists were not particularly overrepresented in crashes with a driver of other races during both study periods. Hispanic bicyclists were overrepresented in pre-pandemic crashes with white (1.13) and Asian (1.10) drivers, and were overrepresented in crashes during the pandemic study period with Hispanic (1.23) drivers. Asian bicyclists were slightly to moderately disproportionately involved in crashes during the pre-pandemic crashes with white (1.10), Hispanic (1.08), Asian (1.06), and other (1.12) drivers. Asian bicyclists were particularly overrepresented in pandemic crashes with Asian (1.44) and other (1.24) drivers. Black bicyclists were most disproportionately involved in crashes with

Hispanic (1.24) and Black (1.51) drivers during the pre-pandemic period. These patterns may reflect historic racial segregation and mobility in different neighborhoods throughout San Francisco. Additional research is needed to better understand the travel behaviors and mode preferences for each race.

Table 31: Primary Bicyclist and Primary Driver Race Representation, 2017-2019

Bicyclist Race	Driver Race						# Bicyclists
	White	Hispanic	Asian	Black	Other	Not Stated	
White	1.04	0.97	1.00	0.99	0.93	1.02	<b>774</b>
Hispanic	1.13	0.97	1.10	0.77	1.01	0.79	<b>181</b>
Asian	1.10	1.08	1.06	0.77	1.12	0.68	<b>133</b>
Black	0.76	1.24	1.03	1.51	0.95	0.76	<b>131</b>
Other	0.75	0.85	0.90	1.16	1.62	1.18	<b>107</b>
Not	0.67	1.13	0.28	0.64	0.00	4.30	<b>23</b>
# Drivers	<b>435</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>150</b>	

Table 32: Primary Bicyclist and Primary Driver Race Representation, 2020-2021

Bicyclist Race	Driver Race						# Bicyclists
	White	Hispanic	Asian	Black	Other	Not Stated	
White	1.02	0.96	0.96	1.07	0.84	1.17	<b>314</b>
Hispanic	0.92	1.23	0.90	0.90	1.05	1.05	<b>122</b>
Asian	0.98	1.06	1.44	0.77	1.24	0.24	<b>76</b>
Black	1.02	0.81	0.99	1.00	0.91	1.39	<b>66</b>
Other	1.15	0.77	0.91	1.05	1.63	0.44	<b>42</b>
Not	0.00	1.79	0.00	2.44	2.84	0.00	<b>3</b>
# Drivers	<b>167</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>68</b>	

## Bicyclist Gender

**Disclaimer:** Party gender is based on officer's assumption or visual impression, which can be problematic and inaccurate. The only categorical values for gender in the crash report form include "male", "female", and "Not Stated" and do not include other personal gender identities. The victim representation and comparison made to the San Francisco population should be interpreted with caution given these reporting shortcomings.

Table 33 and Table 34 summarize bicyclists by gender for all crashes and KSI crashes respectively. Male bicyclists accounted for the majority of bicyclists involved in crashes and KSI crashes during both study periods. This may be a reflection of male bicyclists feeling more confident or comfortable riding a bicycle in San Francisco. This may also be a reflection of male bicyclists not experiencing perceived risk (crash or personal safety) that female or non-male-identifying bicyclists experience<sup>15</sup>. Additional

<sup>15</sup> [https://safetrec.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/whydntwomencycle\\_9.3\\_v2.pdf](https://safetrec.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/whydntwomencycle_9.3_v2.pdf)

research to better understand travel preferences and bicycling frequency by gender can help contextualize this finding.

Table 33: Number of Bicyclists Involved in a crash, by gender and study period, 2017-2022

Bicyclist Gender	% Parties			Population		Representation		
	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years	# Population	% Population	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years
Male	77.9%	78.6%	78.1%	443,653	51.2%	1.52	1.53	1.52
Female	21.4%	21.3%	21.4%	422,280	48.8%	0.44	0.44	0.44
Not Stated	0.7%	0.1%	0.5%	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>865,933</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	-	-	-
Representation values greater than 1 indicates that age cohort is overrepresented in crashes. Values less than 1 indicate underrepresentation.								

Table 34: Number of fatally or severely injured Bicyclists Involved in a crash, by gender and study period, 2017-2022

Bicyclist Gender	% Parties			Population		Representation		
	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years	# Population	% Population	2017-2019	2020-2022	All Years
Male	75.0%	80.8%	77.0%	443,653	51.2%	1.46	1.58	1.50
Female	23.7%	19.2%	22.2%	422,280	48.8%	0.49	0.39	0.45
Not Stated	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>865,933</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	-	-	-
Representation values greater than 1 indicates that age cohort is overrepresented in crashes. Values less than 1 indicate underrepresentation.								

## Conclusion and Next Steps

This document summarized the who, when, and why questions related to bicycle crashes within San Francisco between 2017-2021. The findings of this analysis will be shared with the public during Community Engagement Phase 2 (April – June 2023). This is the final draft of the Step I analysis. The follow-up analysis (Step II) will begin and will use systemic safety principles to analyze where crashes occurred and what factors contributed to those crashes.

## Appendix A

### Generalized Violation Types

The table below represents the how violation types summarized in Table 9 and Table 10 have been grouped into similar violation types.

Table 35: California Vehicle Code Violation Types

Violation Code	Definition	Generalized Category
21657	The authorities in charge of any highway may designate any highway, roadway, part of a roadway, or specific lanes upon which vehicular traffic shall proceed in one direction at all or such times as shall be indicated by official traffic control devices. When a roadway has been so designated, a vehicle shall be driven only in the direction designated at all or such times as shall be indicated by traffic control devices.	Wrong way travel
21651	Bicyclists riding in the roadway or on a shoulder must ride in the same direction of traffic	Wrong way riding
21663	Must not operate a vehicle on a sidewalk except to enter or exit an adjacent properly	Vehicle on sidewalk
24002	Vehicles, loads, or other roadway equipment must not present a safety hazard and be lawfully equipped	Vehicle load ill-equipped
21209	Must not drive a vehicle in the bicycle lane	Vehicle in bike lane
22106	Must not stop, park, or reverse on a highway unless conditions are safe to do so	Unsafe stop
21712	Must not ride in a portion of a vehicle that is not intended for passengers (e.g., trunk)	Unsafe passenger position
21703	Must allow adequate space between vehicles traveling the same direction on a roadway	Unsafe pass
23336	It is unlawful to violate any rules or regulations adopted under Section 23334, notice of which has been given either by a sign on a vehicular crossing or by publication as provided in Section 23335.	Unknown
22515	Must set the brakes before leaving a vehicle unattended	Unattended vehicle
21960	The Department of Transportation and local authorities, by order, ordinance, or resolution, with respect to freeways, expressways, or designated portions thereof under their respective jurisdictions, to which vehicle access is completely or partially controlled, may prohibit or restrict the use of the freeways, expressways, or any portion thereof by pedestrians, bicycles or other nonmotorized traffic or by any person operating a motor-driven cycle, motorized bicycle, motorized scooter, or electrically motorized board.	Travel prohibited
21208	Bicyclists traveling at less than the normal speed of the roadway must travel in the bicycle lane if one is present, except when it is necessary to leave the lane to turn, overtake, or avoid a hazardous condition	Too slow condition
22400	Must not drive slower than a normal speed except when dangerous conditions are present, or stop unexpectedly on a roadway	Too slow condition
22350	Must drive at a reasonable speed	Too fast condition
21760	Must allow three feet of space between the vehicle and bicyclist when overtaking a bicyclist	Three feet safety
21461	Must obey all regulatory signals and signs (applies to pedestrians and drivers)	Disregard signal or sign
21457	Must abide by rules for flashing yellow and red signals	Disregard signal or sign
21229	If a class II bikeway is present, operators of motorized scooters shall ride in the bicycle lane, except when turning, overtaking, or avoiding a hazardous condition	Scooter needs to travel in bike lane
23103	Reckless driving occurs when a driver operates a vehicle with willful disregard for the safety of people or property	Reckless driving
21750	Must pass on the left if overtaking another vehicle	Overtaking

Violation Code	Definition	Generalized Category
21755	Must only pass another vehicle on the right if able to do so safely	Overtaking
21951	Must not overtake another vehicle that has stopped to yield to a pedestrian	Overtaking
21756	The driver of a vehicle overtaking any interurban electric or streetcar stopped or about to stop for the purpose of receiving or discharging any passenger shall stop the vehicle to the rear of the nearest running board or door of such car and thereupon remain standing until all passengers have boarded the car or upon alighting have reached a place of safety	Overtaking
12500	A person may not drive a motor vehicle upon a highway, unless the person then holds a valid driver license issued under this code, except those persons who are expressly exempted under this code.	No valid license
21235	Motorize scooter violation	Motorized Scooter Violation
21955	Pedestrians must cross in the middle of the block only where there is a crosswalk	Illegal mid-block crossing
21211	Must not loiter in a class I bikeway	Loiter in bike lane
21650	Must drive on right half of the highway except when passing another vehicle, making a legal left turn, or when the right half of the roadway is closed	Keep right
22110	The signals required by this chapter shall be given by signal lamp, unless a vehicle is not required to be and is not equipped with turn signals. Drivers of vehicles not required to be and not equipped with turn signals shall give a hand and arm signal when required by this chapter.	Improper signal
22105	Must not make a U-turn in areas where the driver does not have an unobstructed view for 200 feet in both directions	Improper U-turn
22102	Must not make a U-turn in a business district except at intersections or locations where U-Turns are permitted	Improper U-turn
22103	Must not make a U-turn in a residential district when any other vehicle is approaching in either direction within 200 feet, except at an intersection when the approaching vehicle is controlled by a traffic device	Improper U-turn
22107	Must turn in a safe place and use a turn signal	Improper turn
22100	Must make right- and left-hand turns as close as practicable to the right- and left-hand edge of roadway, respectively	Improper turn
22101	Must obey signals and signs indicating turning restrictions, such as no-turn-on-red signs or signals	Improper turn
21717	Whenever it is necessary for the driver of a motor vehicle to cross a bicycle lane that is adjacent to his lane of travel to make a turn, the driver shall drive the motor vehicle into the bicycle lane prior to making the turn and shall make the turn pursuant to Section 22100.	Improper turn
22450	Must stop at stop sign before intersection, or stop line, or crosswalk	Improper stop
22109	No person shall stop or suddenly decrease the speed of a vehicle on a highway without first giving an appropriate signal in the manner provided in this chapter to the driver of any vehicle immediately to the rear when there is opportunity to give the signal.	Improper stop
22500	A person shall not stop, park, or leave standing any vehicle whether attended or unattended, except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the directions of a peace officer or official traffic control device	Improper parking
21658	Must drive within a single lane if roadway has been divided into two or more lanes, unless directed otherwise	Improper lane
23152	Must not drive while under the influence of alcohol	Impairment
23153	Must not drive while under the influence of alcohol and concurrently break the law	Impairment
21206	This chapter does not prevent local authorities, by ordinance, from regulating the registration of bicycles and the parking and operation of bicycles on pedestrian or bicycle facilities, provided such regulation is not in conflict with the provisions of this code	Illegal bicycle operation



Violation Code	Definition	Generalized Category
20001	Must stop if vehicle is involved in an accident resulting in an injury to a person, other than oneself	Hit and run
20002	The driver of any vehicle involved in an accident resulting only in damage to any property, including vehicles, shall immediately stop the vehicle at the nearest location that will not impede traffic or otherwise jeopardize the safety of other motorists.	Hit and run
21950	Must yield to pedestrian crossing the roadway at an intersection	Failure to yield to pedestrian
21952	Must yield to pedestrian before driving over or on any sidewalk	Failure to yield to pedestrian
21801	Must yield to oncoming traffic before turning left or making a U-Turn	Failure to yield – driver left turn
21804	Must yield to traffic when entering or crossing a highway	Failure to yield
21954	Pedestrians must yield right-of-way to vehicles except when at a marked crosswalk or an unmarked crosswalk at an intersection	Failure to yield
21800	Must yield to drivers already in an intersection when approaching an intersection	Failure to yield
21456	Pedestrians must obey pedestrian signal heads but must yield to vehicles legally in the intersection at the time that the signal is first shown	Failure to yield
21803	Drivers must obey yield signs at intersections controlled by a yield right-of-way sign	Failure to yield intersection
21451	A driver facing a circular green signal shall proceed straight through or turn right or left or make a U-turn unless a sign prohibits a U-turn. Any driver, including one turning, shall yield the right-of-way to other traffic and to pedestrians lawfully within the intersection or an adjacent crosswalk.	Failure to yield intersection
21707	No motor vehicle, except an authorized emergency vehicle or a vehicle of a duly authorized member of a fire or police department, shall be operated within the block wherein an emergency situation responded to by any fire department vehicle exists, except that in the event the nearest intersection to the emergency is more than 300 feet therefrom, this section shall prohibit operation of vehicles only within 300 feet of the emergency, unless directed to do so by a member of the fire department or police department, sheriff, deputy sheriff, or member of the California Highway Patrol.	Failure to yield emergency
22108	Any signal of intention to turn right or left shall be given continuously during the last 100 feet traveled by the vehicle before turning.	Failure to signal turn
21802	Must stop at stop sign and yield to drivers that do not have a stop sign	Fail to stop
21807	Drivers of emergency vehicles must drive with regard for the safety of all people and property	Emergency vehicle unsafe
21752	Must not drive on the left side of a roadway when approaching a grade or curve, or when the drivers vision is obstructed within 100 feet of a railroad crossing, intersection, bridge, or tunnel	Driving left of centerline
21203	Must not attach oneself to a streetcar or vehicle on the roadway if traveling by bicycle, motorcycle, skates, sled, or motorized bicycle	Drag tow
22517	Must not open vehicle door on the same side as moving traffic unless it will not interfere with moving traffic	Dooring
21460	Must not cross double parallel solid yellow or white lines	Do not cross solid line
23123	A person shall not drive a motor vehicle while using a wireless telephone unless that telephone is specifically designed and configured to allow hands-free listening and talking, and is used in that manner while driving.	Distracted phone
27400	A person operating a motor vehicle or bicycle may not wear a headset covering, earplugs in, or earphones covering, resting on, or inserted in, both ears.	Distracted headphones
21453	Must stop at red light	Disregard signal

Violation Code	Definition	Generalized Category
21202	Bicyclists must ride as close as practicable to the right-hand edge of the road, except when passing, preparing for a left-turn, avoiding roadway hazards, or preparing to turn right	Close practicable
21662	Must maintain control of vehicles on all roads and drive on the right side of the roadway if no center line is present	Close practicable
21751	Must not drive left of center on a two-lane roadway, except to pass	Close practicable
21956	Pedestrians must walk close to the right- or left-hand edge of the roadway	Close practicable
21200	Bicyclists must abide by the same rules as vehicle drivers	Bike-Vehicle violation
21201	Must not ride a bicycle on a roadway unless it is equipped with brakes, lights, and reflectors	Bike illegal equipment

## Appendix B

### Pre-Crash Movement (Full Tables)

The tables below expand upon Table 5 and Table 6 and display all crash types, not just the top 10 crash types.

Table 36: Bicycle Crashes by Pre-Crash Movements, 2017-2019

Bike + Motorist or Pedestrian Movements	# Crashes	% crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Proceeding Straight, Proceeding Straight	310	18.6%	103.3	28	17.7%	9.3	9.0%
Proceeding Straight, Making Left Turn	215	12.9%	71.7	17	10.8%	5.7	7.9%
Proceeding Straight, Making Right Turn	202	12.1%	67.3	12	7.6%	4.0	5.9%
solo bike Proceeding Straight	139	8.3%	46.3	31	19.6%	10.3	22.3%
Proceeding Straight, Stopped	113	6.8%	37.7	13	8.2%	4.3	11.5%
Proceeding Straight, Parked	48	2.9%	16.0	5	3.2%	1.7	10.4%
Making Left Turn, Proceeding Straight	46	2.8%	15.3	4	2.5%	1.3	8.7%
Proceeding Straight, Making U Turn	40	2.4%	13.3	1	0.6%	0.3	2.5%
Proceeding Straight, Entering Traffic	33	2.0%	11.0	3	1.9%	1.0	9.1%
Proceeding Straight, Changing Lanes	33	2.0%	11.0	2	1.3%	0.7	6.1%
Proceeding Straight, Parking Maneuver	31	1.9%	10.3	3	1.9%	1.0	9.7%
Proceeding Straight, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	31	1.9%	10.3	2	1.3%	0.7	6.5%
Making Right Turn, Proceeding Straight	23	1.4%	7.7	1	0.6%	0.3	4.3%
Proceeding Straight, Crossing Not in Crosswalk	23	1.4%	7.7	2	1.3%	0.7	8.7%
Stopped, Proceeding Straight	22	1.3%	7.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Not Stated	17	1.0%	5.7	1	0.6%	0.3	5.9%
Proceeding Straight, Slowing/Stopping	16	1.0%	5.3	2	1.3%	0.7	12.5%
Proceeding Straight, Passing Other Vehicle	14	0.8%	4.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Changing Lanes, Proceeding Straight	13	0.8%	4.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Backing	12	0.7%	4.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Other Unsafe Turning	12	0.7%	4.0	1	0.6%	0.3	8.3%
Proceeding Straight, Not Stated	12	0.7%	4.0	4	2.5%	1.3	33.3%
Proceeding Straight, nan	12	0.7%	4.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Changing Lanes	11	0.7%	3.7	3	1.9%	1.0	27.3%
solo bike Making Left Turn	10	0.6%	3.3	1	0.6%	0.3	10.0%
Proceeding Straight, Not in Road	10	0.6%	3.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Proceeding Straight	10	0.6%	3.3	2	1.3%	0.7	20.0%
Stopped, Stopped	9	0.5%	3.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, In Road, Including Shoulder	9	0.5%	3.0	2	1.3%	0.7	22.2%
Passing Other Vehicle, Proceeding Straight	8	0.5%	2.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Stopped	7	0.4%	2.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Other	6	0.4%	2.0	2	1.3%	0.7	33.3%
solo bike Making Right Turn	6	0.4%	2.0	1	0.6%	0.3	16.7%
Traveling Wrong Way, Proceeding Straight	6	0.4%	2.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Stopped	6	0.4%	2.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Proceeding Straight	5	0.3%	1.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Making Left Turn	5	0.3%	1.7	2	1.3%	0.7	40.0%
Stopped, Making Right Turn	5	0.3%	1.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Merging	5	0.3%	1.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Making Left Turn	5	0.3%	1.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Other	4	0.2%	1.3	1	0.6%	0.3	25.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Making Left Turn	4	0.2%	1.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Passing Other Vehicle	4	0.2%	1.3	1	0.6%	0.3	25.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Making Right Turn	4	0.2%	1.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other Unsafe Turning, Proceeding Straight	4	0.2%	1.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Stopped	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Ran Off Road	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Bike + Motorist or Pedestrian Movements	# Crashes	% crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Changing Lanes, Stopped	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Making Right Turn	3	0.2%	1.0	1	0.6%	0.3	33.3%
solo bike Slowing/Stopping	3	0.2%	1.0	1	0.6%	0.3	33.3%
Proceeding Straight, No Pedestrian Involved	3	0.2%	1.0	1	0.6%	0.3	33.3%
Making Left Turn, Parked	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Proceeding Straight	3	0.2%	1.0	1	0.6%	0.3	33.3%
Proceeding Straight, Crossing in Crosswalk Not at Intersection	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making U Turn, Proceeding Straight	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Making Right Turn	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Making Left Turn	3	0.2%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Merging, Proceeding Straight	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Other	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Making Right Turn	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Making Left Turn	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, nan	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Changing Lanes, Changing Lanes	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Stopped	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Stopped	2	0.1%	0.7	1	0.6%	0.3	50.0%
Making Left Turn, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Ran Off Road	2	0.1%	0.7	1	0.6%	0.3	50.0%
Making Left Turn, nan	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Passing Other Vehicle	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, nan	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Making Right Turn	2	0.1%	0.7	1	0.6%	0.3	50.0%
Proceeding Straight, Traveling Wrong Way	2	0.1%	0.7	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Making Right Turn	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Not Stated	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Making Left Turn	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Other Unsafe Turning	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, In Road, Including Shoulder	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Crossed Into Opposing Lane	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Crossing Not in Crosswalk	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Passing Other Vehicle	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Merging, Merging	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Backing	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Traveling Wrong Way	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, nan	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Parking Maneuver	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Slowing/Stopping	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Parked	1	0.1%	0.3	1	0.6%	0.3	100.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Entering Traffic	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Parked, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Making U Turn	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Crossing Not in Crosswalk	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other Unsafe Turning, Making Right Turn	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Slowing/Stopping	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Parked	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Making Left Turn	1	0.1%	0.3	1	0.6%	0.3	100.0%
Stopped, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Slowing/Stopping, Backing	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Not in Road	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Slowing/Stopping, Parking Maneuver	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Bike + Motorist or Pedestrian Movements	# Crashes	% crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Slowing/Stopping, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Ran Off Road	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Slowing/Stopping, Traveling Wrong Way	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	1	0.1%	0.3	1	0.6%	0.3	100.0%
Parking Maneuver, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Changing Lanes, Entering Traffic	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Passing Other Vehicle, Changing Lanes	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Backing, In Road, Including Shoulder	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Ran Off Road, Merging	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Ran Off Road, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.3	1	0.6%	0.3	100.0%
Making Left Turn, Passing Other Vehicle	1	0.1%	0.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1668</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556.0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>9.5%</b>

Table 37: Bicycle Crashes by Pre-Crash Movements, 2020-2021

Bike + Motorist or Pedestrian Movements	# Crashes	% crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Proceeding Straight, Proceeding Straight	185	24.2%	92.5	21	26.9%	10.5	11.4%
Proceeding Straight, Making Left Turn	105	13.7%	52.5	7	9.0%	3.5	6.7%
Proceeding Straight, Making Right Turn	81	10.6%	40.5	3	3.8%	1.5	3.7%
solo bike Proceeding Straight	78	10.2%	39.0	16	20.5%	8.0	20.5%
Proceeding Straight, Stopped	34	4.5%	17.0	3	3.8%	1.5	8.8%
Making Left Turn, Proceeding Straight	24	3.1%	12.0	2	2.6%	1.0	8.3%
Proceeding Straight, Making U Turn	18	2.4%	9.0	1	1.3%	0.5	5.6%
Proceeding Straight, Parked	14	1.8%	7.0	1	1.3%	0.5	7.1%
Proceeding Straight, Entering Traffic	12	1.6%	6.0	1	1.3%	0.5	8.3%
Proceeding Straight, Changing Lanes	11	1.4%	5.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Changing Lanes, Proceeding Straight	11	1.4%	5.5	2	2.6%	1.0	18.2%
Making Right Turn, Proceeding Straight	10	1.3%	5.0	2	2.6%	1.0	20.0%
Entering Traffic, Proceeding Straight	9	1.2%	4.5	3	3.8%	1.5	33.3%
Not Stated, Not Stated	9	1.2%	4.5	1	1.3%	0.5	11.1%
Traveling Wrong Way, Proceeding Straight	8	1.0%	4.0	1	1.3%	0.5	12.5%
Proceeding Straight, In Road, Including Shoulder	8	1.0%	4.0	2	2.6%	1.0	25.0%
Proceeding Straight, Other	8	1.0%	4.0	1	1.3%	0.5	12.5%
Proceeding Straight, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	7	0.9%	3.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Parking Maneuver	7	0.9%	3.5	1	1.3%	0.5	14.3%
Proceeding Straight, Not in Road	7	0.9%	3.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Crossing Not in Crosswalk	7	0.9%	3.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Slowing/Stopping	6	0.8%	3.0	2	2.6%	1.0	33.3%
Stopped, Proceeding Straight	6	0.8%	3.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Proceeding Straight	6	0.8%	3.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Stopped	5	0.7%	2.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Other	5	0.7%	2.5	1	1.3%	0.5	20.0%
solo bike Making Left Turn	4	0.5%	2.0	1	1.3%	0.5	25.0%
Proceeding Straight, Slowing/Stopping	4	0.5%	2.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Making Right Turn	4	0.5%	2.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Making Left Turn	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Making Right Turn	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Making Left Turn	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Changing Lanes	3	0.4%	1.5	1	1.3%	0.5	33.3%
Not Stated, Proceeding Straight	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Making Right Turn	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Bike + Motorist or Pedestrian Movements	# Crashes	% crashes	Crash Rate/ Year	# KSI	% KSI	KSI Crash Rate/ Year	% Crashes Resulting in KSI
Changing Lanes, Changing Lanes	3	0.4%	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Making Right Turn	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Changing Lanes, Stopped	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Making Left Turn	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Backing	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Traveling Wrong Way	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Other	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Stopped	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Not Stated	2	0.3%	1.0	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Slowing/Stopping, Other	1	0.1%	0.5	1	1.3%	0.5	100.0%
Crossed Into Opposing Lane, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Backing	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Right Turn, Making U Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Crossing in Crosswalk at Intersection	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making U Turn, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Not Stated	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Proceeding Straight, Merging	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.5	1	1.3%	0.5	100.0%
Proceeding Straight, nan	1	0.1%	0.5	1	1.3%	0.5	100.0%
Entering Traffic, Not Stated	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Merging, Other	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Slowing/Stopping, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Making Right Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
solo bike Entering Traffic	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Stopped, Backing	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Parked, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Not in Road	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Entering Traffic	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Entering Traffic	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Not in Road	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Parking Maneuver	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, nan	1	0.1%	0.5	1	1.3%	0.5	100.0%
Merging, Proceeding Straight	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Other, Other	1	0.1%	0.5	1	1.3%	0.5	100.0%
Not Stated, Changing Lanes	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Traveling Wrong Way, Making Left Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Making Left Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Entering Traffic, Making Right Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Not Stated, Making Right Turn	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Making Left Turn, Backing	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Parked, Stopped	1	0.1%	0.5	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>382.0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>10.2%</b>